Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/977,045	FINDLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Y. Kim	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>pending</u>
(1) Kevin Y. Kim.	(3)	
(2) <u>J Joel Justiss</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: November 30, 2006	Time:	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,8 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent No. 5,815,016		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Proposed examiner's amendment to clearly distinguish the prior		
Part III.	·	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation.</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
•		
/in /Cv		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)